

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.G. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	09/22/2000		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Ralph Hopfensitz	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/646,944				1093-29-PCT/	1310
	7590	03/28/2002			•
Hoffmann & Baron				EXAMINER	
350 Jericho Turnpik Jericho, NY 11753				HEINRICH, SAMUEL M	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•		1725	, 4
				DATE MAILED: 03/28/2002	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
 		09/646,944	HOPFENSITZ ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Samuel M Heinrich	1725			
Period fo		n appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma on. a reply within the statutory minimum of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) No statute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	ı				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.				
3)☐ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u ion of Claims	illowance except for formal i nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	matters, prosecution as to the ments is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applic	cation.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) 4-14 is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a ion Papers	and/or election requirement.				
9) 🔲 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 September 200</u>		objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
11) 🔲 .	The proposed drawing correction filed on					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action.				
12) 🔲 🤄	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	•				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu		n Application No.			
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office action for	priority documents have be al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	en received in this National Stage			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	•				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languag	e provisional application has	s been received.			
Attachment	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	nesuc priority under 35 U.S	.C. 99 120 and/or 121.			
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	3) 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Rev	- · · · ·	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 4			

Application/Control Number: 09/646,944

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 4-14 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from other multiple dependent claims. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, claims 4-14 have not been further treated on the merits.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1, line 3, "in particular" causes the scope of the claim to be indefinite. The parenthetical limitations in all of the claims causes the scope of the claims to be unclear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Application/Control Number: 09/646,944

Art Unit: 1725

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP09277196A. The abstract describes a "film, e.g. sheet material, paper, metal foil – involves using cutting patterns formed by irradiation of laser beam" with subsequent blade cutting. See the Figures. The use of a particular film such as the instant claimed "carrier film and a decorative layer" would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person of ordinary skill in the art because the particular film is an equivalent film to those disclosed by JP09277196A.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited art pertains to cutting using both laser and blade.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel M Heinrich whose telephone number is 703 308 1168. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas G Dunn can be reached on 703 308 3318. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 305 3599 for regular communications and 703 305 6078 for After Final communications.